Northern District of California

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
6		1
7	A.P.,	Case No. <u>23-cv-04308-AMO</u>
8	Plaintiff,	DEEEDDAL EOD BUDDOGE OE
9	v.	REFERRAL FOR PURPOSE OF DETERMINING RELATIONSHIP
10	UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC., et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12	Halstenberg,	Case No. <u>23-cv-4705-AMO</u>
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	
15	UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC., et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	Cormier,	Case No. <u>23-cv-4745-AMO</u>
18	Plaintiff,	
19	v.	
20	UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC., et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22	Jane Doe F-2,	Case No. <u>23-cv-3949-AMO</u>
23	Plaintiff,	
24	v.	
25	UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC., et al.,	
26	Defendants.	

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(c) and Rule 7.2(a) of the Rules of Procedure of the U.S.

Case 3:23-cv-03949-AMO Document 24 Filed 10/20/23 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court

1	Jı
2	Н
3	re
4	
5	D
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0.0	
1	
2	
3	

Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, the above-entitled cases are hereby REFERRED to the
Honorable Charles R. Breyer for consideration of whether the cases are related to 23-md-3084, <i>In</i>
re Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 20, 2023

Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín United States District Judge